

# **Dominion Appalachian Gateway Project Inquiries of Marketers Purchasing DTI Supply**

## **Dominion Field Services**

1. If a producer does not sign up for firm transportation on DTI, excluding the potential for shut in or allocations by DTI, will there be any change in the service your company now provides or should we expect the status quo?
2. There appears to be a degradation of service in what DFS is proposing in that the potential exists for the producer to be billed a “market discount fee” if gas is sold at below market. Doesn't this potential exist today with DFS taking that risk and what has changed? Does this highlight an issue with the DTI service being offered?
3. Since DFS proposes to hold the firm on DTI, will the release of the capacity by DFS be credited back to the producers and how will this be accounted for and reported?
4. Will DFS balance the supply for producer's that hold firm on DTI for a fee as they will do for those they contract for and charge the \$0.10 management fee?
5. DFS has cited the FERC NOPR regarding capacity release and the potential issue with "coupling" as a reason not to accept assignment of capacity or enter into an agency agreement with producers that hold firm on DTI; however FERC seems to have validated that type of arrangement. What is DFS's current position on the issue? If FERC issues a final order approving asset management arrangements, will DFS incorporate the effects of that order into its proposal?